

R E M A R K S

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner for his courtesy in granting the interview held on January 25, 2005.

At the interview, claim 1 as above amended was discussed, including its distinctions over the cited art, including Guilleminot and Kumar. Note in this regard the Interview Summary. The combination of elements including dual flow paths at 11, 12, 13, and 14 and 16; and flow paths at 25 and valve 26, between the booster compressor and the turbine 17, was emphasized as to its novelty and unobviousness over the art, which taken singly or together fails to teach or suggest what is claimed.

Only one base claim, claim 1 remains. Claims 4-6 are cancelled, removing '112 objection.

In view of the above, the interview, and the amendment, allowance is believed and urged to be in order, and is respectfully solicited.

Serial No. 10/613,503

A two month extension of time request and required
fee are also enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



William W. Haefliger
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 17,120
(323) 684-2707

WWH:ts
Docket 12,520